

MOBILISING STUDENTS TO FORWARD THINKING OF THEIR STUDIES – PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF A CROWDSOURCING EFFORT

Jan W. OWSIŃSKI

Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, Poland
jan.owsinski@ibspan.waw.pl

Cristian CIUREA

Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Romania
cristian.ciurea@ie.ase.ro

Florin Gheorghe FILIP

Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania
ffilip@acad.ro

Abstract. *The paper presents the initial research results, elaborated within the framework of the project “Multiparticipant cooperative decision making: consensus building vs. crowdsourcing-based decisions”, concerning the introduction to a crowdsourcing effort meant to mobilize students to forward thinking of their studies. A study was conducted by Bucharest University of Economic Studies and by Warsaw School of Information Technology under the auspices of the Polish Academy of Sciences and relevant data were collected using a questionnaire delivered to Romanian and Polish students. The results were interpreted in order to extract relevant information about expected nature of work after graduating, based on gender, nationality and university. Crowdsourcing solutions are presented in order to reveal their advantages applicable in collaborative environments.*

Keywords: decision-making, collaborative, crowdsourcing, multi-participant.

JEL classification: Z1 - Cultural Economics, O1 - Economic Development.

DOI: 10.12948/ie2020.04.08

1. Introduction

This paper reports on the preliminary stage of research, oriented at gaining knowledge through a dispersed community, using web-based tools [1]. This preliminary stage involves a questionnaire-based attempt of inquiring into the opinions of university students, concerning the ways they are taught, the potential for change, and their own hypothetical participation in such a change, as well as their contribution to it.

The report refers to a questionnaire-based study [2], [3], launched in March 2020 in Romania and Poland and it was accessed by around 70 people. As of the time of this writing, beginning of April 2020, only 47 responses have been obtained to a questionnaire, containing altogether as many as 61 distinct items, organized into, roughly, seven domains. Yet, the responses obtained provide already quite a variety of characteristics, first of all in terms of respondents. Thus, in particular,

- 5 university-level schools are represented;
- Not less than 7 directions of study are represented;
- Respondents belong to 4 nationalities;
- The sample contains both intramural and extramural students;
- The respondents currently are at all the years of study, from 1 to 4;

- The gender balance among respondents is almost perfect: 23 females and 24 males;
- The age of respondents ranges from 18 to 37.

Hence, without any discussion of “representativeness”, which is here, of course, not really feasible, we can say that the sample acquired is highly interesting. In what follows, we shall first characterize the questionnaire and give its main purpose and rationale, as well as the organizational framework of the study. Then, we shall give the very first insights from the results, emphasizing both those results that are most directly related to the purpose of the study, and the ones that come out as most interesting and/or surprising.

It should also be noted that – given the timing of the present writing and the coronavirus pandemic – the issues of novel and technology-enabled ways of providing educational services becomes of highly important, if not outright urgent matter. Due to coronavirus pandemic, it turned out to be necessary to schedule online courses, using online platforms and videoconferencing systems, such as Moodle, Google Classroom, Google Meet, Microsoft Teams, Zoom, Skype, and so on. Each school and university around the world had to adapt to this radical change and each of them have tried to identify and then apply the best blended-learning platforms.

2. The Questionnaire and the Study

The here reported study is being carried out in the framework of the bilateral research project between the Polish and Romanian Academies. The project, entitled “*Multiparticipant cooperative decision making: consensus building vs. crowdsourcing-based decisions*”, is implemented by the Systems Research Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences and the Bucharest University of Economic Studies.

This project is focused on the multi-person decision processes, with special stress on the use of the novel, IT enabled technologies and methodologies [4], [5], [6]. It was deemed appropriate to perform, in the framework of this project, a limited, but valid experimental study, which would potentially constitute an introduction to a broader effort, undertaken in the future. Hence, a questionnaire-based survey was launched, addressed at university-level students in the schools on the Romanian and Polish sides. The substantive sense of the inquiry is related to the way university education in the respective study directions is carried out, the tools that are and can be used, the potential changes to be introduced and the hypothetical contribution from students. So, the questionnaire contains the following groups of items:

- Basic data on the respondents (the school, the study direction, the intra- or extramural studies, the year of study, nationality, gender, age);
- Expected nature of employment after studies;
- Attitude towards studying (attending lectures and exercises, superfluous lectures, relation to life problems, excess theory in the curriculum, teamwork of students);
- Use of the web (university website, use by lecturers, use by oneself – and for what purposes, use of social media);
- Learning and teaching and the kinds of resources used (with a suggestion of an “appropriate mix” of various kinds of media to be used);
- Necessity of changes in teaching, with indication of particular domains (lectures, lecture materials, exercises, etc.) and the potential tools or instruments;
- Student contribution to the potential change (can students contribute? how? do you have your own idea?).

Let us add that the questionnaire was formulated in English language, while, apparently, at least some of the responding students have definite problems with the language, and this could be a source of some – hopefully marginal – errors in responding. The questionnaire was made

accessible online, using Google Forms, and is available at: <https://forms.gle/kJsWg2aGW5hLqTU99>

The Figure 1 below shows the statistics regarding the gender, nationality and university of students which have responded to the questionnaire.




Figure 1. Statistics concerning gender, nationality and university of the respondents

As seen in Figure 1, the group of respondents is very balanced in terms of gender, as there are 23 females and 24 males. Regarding the nationality, most of respondents are Romanians and Poles, this being explained by the fact that the questionnaire was delivered especially to students from Bucharest University of Economic Studies and Warsaw School of Information Technology (WSISIZ/WIT). This explains also the university affiliation of respondents. Now, Figure 2 presents the proportion between the students that spend more or less than 10 hours per week for their individual study.




Figure 2. Statistics regarding the number of hours spent by students for individual study

As shown in Figure 2, there are 29 students (62%) from total of 47 respondents, declaring that they spend more than 10 hours per week for individual study. The others, i.e. 18 students (38%) declare that they spend less than 10 hours for this purpose. The percentage of students spending less than 10 hours is quite big and we should identify the cause of this situation.

Figure 3 below shows the same statistics, with the responses *Yes* and *No* splitted into Romanian and Polish side, in order to see how many students spend more (and less) than 10 hours per week for individual study according to this division.




Figure 3. Statistics regarding the number of hours spent by students for individual study according to the location of the university

Regarding the number of students that declare having an own idea of a tool / method for improving the teaching process and its outcome, Figure 4 shows the respective statistics.




Figure 4. Statistics regarding the number of students who declare having an own idea of a tool / method for improving the teaching process

As seen in Figure 4, only 34% of the respondents declare having an idea concerning a tool or a method to be used in order to improve the teaching process. Through the answers of students, some of them recommend audio/video lectures that might be helpful in order to revise a lesson at home, and interactive exercises like, in particular gamifying tools such as *Kahoot* [7] and *Quizizz* [8]. Also, in the opinions of some respondents, teachers should come up with interactive scenarios in order to prepare the students for the real job experience by integrating the theoretical and pragmatic concepts. It must be added, though, that several responses were very enigmatic, like “visual tools” or alike, while, on the other hand, when asked “Can students contribute to the change?” (in teaching methodology) the overwhelming response was “Rather yes” (15 responses) and “Definitely yes” (21 responses!), meaning not only a feeling of a need for a change, but the consciousness of the capacity of contributing.

The above can be commented upon in two aspects: 1. It is usual that people who are active (and possibly collaborative at the same time) are a minority, which ought to be mobilised, on purpose, and 2. The gaps between the need for change, the awareness of the potential to contribute, and the actual creativity are, indeed, very broad and deep, which is also a usual phenomenon.

In the above context it is also interesting to look at Figure 5 below, showing the statistics regarding the expectations of students as to the character of their future job after graduation.




Figure 5. Statistics related to the expected nature of jobs after graduation

As shown in Figure 5, the most preferred job titles by students are designer/developer (34 positive answers), programmer (31 positive answers) and self-employed/business person (29 positive answers). It is clear, and definitely understandable, that students from our universities do not want to work as sales persons or simple clerks, these two being the most unwanted positions out of the considered set.

3. Crowdsourcing in Collaborative Environments

This paper is meant to report from the very initial stage of a study, intended as a probe for a more concrete crowdsourcing effort, this initial stage being devoted to collection and preparation of the data needed for our research, in which the participants were Romanian and Polish students and professors.

As we know, crowdsourcing can be applied in virtually all activity fields, not only in education, but also in all business and social interactions. It is supposed to contribute to optimization of research, employment, production and marketing processes, by changing the way in which a project is carried out. Crowdsourcing is always a good choice when organizations have to deal with a large number of complex tasks in a relatively short period of time and the significant expertise is insufficient within the organization [9].

In other domains, some crowdsourcing-oriented solutions like *Waze*, *Unsplash*, *Airbnb*, and so on are concrete examples of the practice in action. All of the crowdsourced information collected by these platforms allows users to help each other reach their objectives [10]. In a more strictly collaborative environment, when we refer to crowdsourcing, the actual collaboration is achieved electronically almost by definition, usually via the dedicated crowdsourcing platforms, because the members of the crowd are usually located in different places. They collaborate in developing and then choosing a solution, which is agreed upon by the appropriate proportion of them, because the collaborative result is normally better than in the case when they work independently [11].

Crowdsourcing can take place virtually with any type of internet-based collaborative activity, such as co-creation or user innovation [12].

In the case here considered we are at the stage of creating the basis for a potential collaborative effort, while, at the same time, checking the very rationale for such effort (recognition of existence of a need, character of this need, as well as will and capacity of contributing). The work shall continue on both solidifying this basis and on drawing more in-depth conclusions from the material gathered, particularly in the direction of feasibility of the actual crowdsourcing experiment.

4. Conclusions

Irrespective of the field of activity, crowdsourcing represents an innovative way for people to creatively interact, be it in the domain of science, education, culture, or – very often – commercial applications, e.g. in the case of a company, needing to activate their audience based on the marketing campaigns which they have prepared and/or launched. Thus, crowdsourcing turns also out to be one of the ways in which consumers might interact with a brand and, helping the marketers to take important decisions about some products [10]. This kind of philosophy of mobilizing customers, audiences, students, users etc. is, of course, applicable in numerous domains.

In our research, crowdsourcing was the most appropriate solution to mobilize students to forward thinking of their studies and professors to adapt their courses to the requests and preferences of students, in order to achieve the best learning level.

Acknowledgement

This paper was realized in the context of the Joint Research Project “*Multiparticipant Cooperative Decision-Making: Consensus Building vs. Crowdsourcing-based Decisions*” between Romanian Academy and Polish Academy of Sciences.

References

- [1] C. Ciurea, F. G. Filip, “Collaborative Platforms for Crowdsourcing and Consensus-based Decisions in Multi-Participant Environments,” *Informatica Economică*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2019, pp. 5-14.
- [2] B. Gillham, *Developing a Questionnaire: 2nd Edition*, A&C Black, London, 2008, 124 pg.
- [3] J. A. Krosnick, “Questionnaire Design,” In: *Vannette, David L., Krosnick, Jon A. (Eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of Survey Research*, Palgrave Macmillan, 2018, pp. 439-455.

- [4] C. Ciurea, F. G. Filip, "Computer-Supported Crowdsourcing," *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Informatics in Economy, IE 2019*, 30 - 31 May 2019, Bucharest, Romania, pp. 55-60.
- [5] F. G. Filip, "DSS - A Class of Evolving Information Systems." In: *Dzemyda G., Bernatavičienė J., Kacprzyk J. (Eds.) Data Science: New Issues, Challenges and Applications. Studies in Computational Intelligence*, Vol. 869. Springer, 2020, pp. 253-277.
- [6] F. G. Filip "A decision-making perspective for designing and building information systems," *International Journal Computers Communications & Control*, vol. 7, no. 2 (June), 2012, pp. 264-272.
- [7] R. Dellos, "Kahoot! A digital game resource for learning," *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2015, pp. 49-52.
- [8] J. Batista Bottentuit Junior, "Assessment for Learning with Mobile Apps: Exploring the Potential of Quizizz in the Educational Context," *International Journal of Development Research*, Vol. 10, No. 01, 2020, pp. 33366-33371.
- [9] C. Ciurea, J. W. Owsinski, "Consensus versus Crowdsourcing in Collaborative Decision-Making Applied in Cultural Institutions," *Procedia Computer Science*, Vol. 162, 2019, pp. 547-554.
- [10] R. White, "The Ultimate Guide to Crowdsourcing", *HubSpot*, 2020, Available at: <https://blog.hubspot.com/marketing/crowdsourcing>, Accessed on 14 April 2020.
- [11] F. G. Filip, C. B. Zamfirescu, C. Ciurea, *Computer Supported Collaborative Decision-Making*. Springer, 2017.
- [12] E. Estellés-Arolas, F. González-Ladrón-de-Guevara, "Towards an integrated crowdsourcing definition," *Journal of Information Science*, Vol. 38, No. 2, 2012, pp. 189–200, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165551512437638>.